

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,081	10/31/2003	Kazuo Okada	SHO-0042	9728
23353 7590 09/19/2007 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, ERIC M	
	10/31/2003 7590 09/19/2007 HMAN & GRAUER PLLC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	71					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,081	OKADA, KAZUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric M. Thomas	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r cleation requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed office detail for a field	or the doration depice flot read.	<b>, Co</b>				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ary (PTO-413) Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa	l Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/07, 3/23/07</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/697,081

Art Unit: 3714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendments filed on 6/20/07. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended and claim 4 has been added. Claims 1 – 4 are now pending in the current application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss (US 6,623,006) in view of Loose (EP 1,260,928).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Weiss provides a gaming machine that comprises a variable display device for variably displaying symbols (mechanical reels, items 64,66,68 of fig. 2) and an electrical display device with a variable display device (item 20 of fig. 1) which allows the display to be observed from outside the gaming machine (item 54 of fig. 1) using a rear support for the display (col. 3, line 10). Weiss's gaming machine also comprises of having one or more windows allowing the designs variably displayed in order to expose the light of the display (col. 2, lines 9-13). However, Weiss's gaming machine does not discuss if the electric display panel is located in front of the variable display device. In a similar gaming patent, Loose teaches the implementation of electric display device in front of a variable display device. He also

Application/Control Number: 10/697,081

Art Unit: 3714

teaches the use of a trans- missive video display, which allows the user to view the video image without interfering with display device behind it (par. [0020]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the teachings of Loose and the gaming machine of Weiss in order to create a gaming machine that included a variable display device and an electric display with one another.

Regarding claim 2, Weiss teaches a game machine wherein the variable display device is one or more rotatable reels each having a reel band, on which said designs are drawn (items *64*,*66*,*68* of fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Weiss teaches a game machine that is a slot machine (fig. 1).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed on 6/20/07, with respect to the double patenting rejection regarding claims 1 - 3 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The double patenting rejection of claims 1 - 3 have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues "the applied art, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests a rear holder that the peripheral corner portions in the rear side of the windows are removed therefrom." The examiner does agree that the references does not teach or suggest a rear holder that the peripheral corner portions in the rear side of the windows are removed, however, in light of the applicant's disclosure, it seems that this limitation as claimed does not provide any advantage used for a particular purpose

Application/Control Number: 10/697,081

TO CONTROL TO TO CO

Art Unit: 3714

or solves a problem. Therefore, the examiner holds this limitation as a mere design choice well within the skill set of an ordinary skill artisan.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1699. The examiner can normally be reached on 7a.m. - 3p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/697,081

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**EMT** 

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER